

THE EVIDENCE OF CHILDREN?????

Injuries inflicted on a child from 9 months old (documented by photographs and witness's:

- appeared to be losing weight while in the care of her father up to 300 grams on her 5 day visits;
- severe ulcerated nappy rash and apparent damage to the vaginal and anal openings;
- head and other injuries whenever she was residing with her father;
- bruises to the cheek, eye sockets, forehead and temples. In addition, finger bruises were clearly discernible on shins and thighs.;
- fingerprint bruises to her rib cage;
- returned home in filthy clothes, exhausted, mute, hoarse and red eyed (I assume from crying).
- fingerprint bruises to cheeks, shins, back, the inside of thighs, a clearly defined adult bite mark to the shoulder, bruised forehead and an unusual rash on the front and back of upper torso.

Annexed were photographic records of weekly injuries and weight loss, test results of vaginal swabs and the paediatrician's report confirming abuse and neglect. The Application was unsuccessful because the Court continued to rely on DOC's earlier decision that abuse was not confirmed.

A report from a child psychologist was filed in the Court. While the psychologist failed to address the weekly physical injuries, **she recommended that the recording of injuries should cease, suggesting that this constituted child abuse.** My Counsel insisted that I followed this advice. **Thus the attention was redirected from the real perpetrator to me.**

The Judge said, "It couldn't be as bad as the wife says".

Despite all of this, police said there was insufficient evidence to prosecute.